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Abstract—Cooperating small-scale Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) will open up new application fields within next-generation Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSs), e.g., airborne near field delivery. In order to allow the exploitation of the potentials of hybrid vehicular scenarios, reliable and efficient bidirectional communication has to be guaranteed in highly dynamic environments. For addressing these novel challenges, we present a lightweight framework for integrated simulation of aerial and ground-based vehicular networks. Mobility and communication are natively brought together using a shared codebase coupling ground-based vehicular networks. Mobility and communication are natively brought together using a shared codebase coupling ground-based vehicular networks. In a proof-of-concept evaluation, we analyze the exploitation of the unique mobility characteristics of autonomous small-scale UAVs as well as the communication technologies for the different domains. In addition, we compare the performance of Long Term Evolution (LTE) and Cellular Vehicle-to-Everything (C-V2X) for connecting the ground- and air-based vehicles.

I. INTRODUCTION

The exploitation of the unique mobility characteristics of autonomous small-scale UAVs offers new possibilities for next generation ITSs [1], e.g., accident reporting, near field delivery, and network provisioning via Flying Ad-hoc Networks (FANETs) and aerial base stations [2]. An overview about multiple example use cases is illustrated in Fig. [1]. As a consequence, ITS-based mobility management and communication systems will be required to support heterogeneous vehicle classes, which raises new challenges for both domains [3].

The development of novel systems and algorithms for addressing these challenges requires simulation frameworks, which are capable of modeling the mobility characteristics as well as the communication technologies for the different traffic participants [4]. While the simulation of vehicular mobility has been widely studied [5] and different tools have been established, UAV networks have mostly been analyzed numerically or using highly-specialized simulators.

In this paper, we present an open simulation framework for system-level modeling of UAV-enabled ITSs, which brings together aerial and ground-based communication networks. The proposed simulator Lightweight ICT-centric Mobility Simulation (LIMoSim) implements a shared codebase approach to provide a straightforward coupling with established network simulators (Network Simulator 3 (ns-3) and Objective Modular Network Testbed in C++ (OMNeT++)). In contrast to state-of-the-art Interprocess Communication (IPC)-based coupling methods, this approach explicitly supports the development of anticipatory communication systems, which exploit mobility-related context information for the optimization of decision processes.

Within this paper, the following contributions are provided:

- Presentation of a novel framework for joint simulation of aerial and ground-based vehicular communication networks, which relies on established analytical models for simulating the low level mechanisms.
- Proof-of-concept evaluation in different case studies, e.g., comparison of LTE and C-V2X for safety-critical messaging.

The paper is structured as follows: After discussing relevant state-of-the-art approaches in Sec. II, we present the system model of the proposed simulator as well as its key components in Sec. III. Afterwards, the methodological setup for the simulative performance evaluation and the results of different case-studies are presented and discussed in Sec. IV.

II. RELATED WORK

UAV networks are a subset of mobile robotic networks [7], which rely on controlled mobility to fulfill a specified task. An overview about commonly used mobility models is given in [8]. While the capability for 3D motion offers the potential of overcoming limitations of ground-bound vehicles (e.g., for near field delivery [9]), the provision of reliable communication links is a challenging task due to the mobility-related dynamics of the radio channel. In order to proactively address these challenges, the anticipatory communication paradigm [6] has been proposed, which exploits context information (e.g., mobility prediction) for optimizing communication processes. In previous work, we have demonstrated that predictive

Fig. 1. Overview about example use cases of UAV-enabled ITSs.
methods can highly increase the quality of mesh routing decisions within UAV swarms by proactive consideration of their mobility characteristics [10], [11]. Furthermore, we have shown that the resource-efficiency of vehicular sensor data transmissions can be massively increased by applying cross-layer data rate prediction that exploits channel quality indicators as well as mobility information [12], [13]. A complementary approach for addressing the same challenges is the usage of communication-aware mobility principles, which aim to proactively avoid challenging radio channel conditions by exploiting the mobility characteristics of the UAVs [14]. Since all of these optimization approaches rely on a direct interaction of mobility and communication, the proposed LIMoSim models both components natively in a single process. The shared codebase approach allows to easily implement cross-layer optimization methods that consider both domains for improving the overall system.

The operation lifetime of small-scale UAVs is highly limited by the available energy resources. Although joint optimization of communication and trajectory design [15] has become one of the major research fields of UAV networks, most analyses are performed numerically, which limits the significance of the developed methods for complex scenarios. While different simulation frameworks for UAV networks have been proposed, established approaches have highly specialized focus fields. FlyNetSim [16] is a simulation tool that couples the simulators ArduPilot and ns-3 using a dedicated middleware. The simulator is designed agent-centric with a focus on Hardware-in-the-loop (HIL) evaluations. CommUnicationS-Control distribUted Simulator (CUSCUS) [17] is a highly complex simulation framework, which interconnects ns-3 and the Framework libre AIR (FL-AIR) mobility simulation using Linux containers. Due to the coupling approach, the provided support of communication technologies is limited, e.g., LTE is currently not supported. Other methods omit explicit modeling of UAV mobility by relying on real world traces [18]. In contrast to the state-of-the-art approaches, the proposed LIMoSim approach couples mobility and communication middleware-less, which results in a less complex setup phase for the creation of evaluation scenarios. Similar to well-known microscopic models of car mobility, the mobility behavior of the airborne vehicles is modeled from a system-level perspective.

III. OVERVIEW ABOUT THE LIMoSIM SIMULATION FRAMEWORK

The overall architecture model of the proposed simulation approach is illustrated in Fig. 2. LIMoSim consists of the main modules Core, User Interface (UI) and a coupled external network simulator. The Core provides the actual models for the mobility simulation and the environment representation. In order to enable shared codebase coupling with a wide range of different network simulators (e.g., ns-3 and OMNeT++), the pure C++-based Core does not have any dependencies towards the Qt-based UI or any network simulator.

In the following paragraphs, the key modules of the simulation core mobility modeling, energy modeling, environment representation and event synchronization are further explained. Due to spacial constraints, this paper mainly focuses on the aspect of modeling the UAV mobility. The models for the ground-based vehicles are further discussed in [19].

A. Coupling between Mobility and Communication

LIMoSim and the respective network simulators are coupled in a shared codebase way. Therefore, modules from both domains are able to directly access and modify data in modules of the other domain. In order to guarantee causality between different events, the different Discrete Event Simulators (DESs) need to be synchronized. The shared codebase approach is hereby exploited to minimize the corresponding overhead. LIMoSim makes use of a virtual event queue, which embeds its events to surrogates events in the domain of the coupled network simulator. The latter is then responsible for processing the event handling. As soon as a surrogate event is called, it invokes the handler method of its mapped LIMoSim event.

B. Online Visualization

Visual verification is an important method during the design phase of novel mobility algorithms. Unfortunately, the capability of network simulators to provide online visualization differs, e.g., ns-3 does not natively provide visualization features. Therefore, LIMoSim features a rich Open Graphics Library (OpenGL)-based 3D visualization, which is implemented in
Qt-C++ and compatible with established operating systems. Note that this feature is optional and does not introduce any code dependencies to the core implementation, which is purely written in C++.

In addition to live visualization, perspectival scenario figures can be exported in a vector data format (see Fig. 8 as an example).

C. Environment Modeling

In addition to generic environment models, the proposed simulation framework provides native support for OpenStreetMap (OSM) data, which is utilized to automatically create the road network topology, buildings and infrastructure-based entities such as traffic signals. In contrast to other simulators, the data is parsed without requiring preprocessing steps with external tools. The *.osm files are automatically converted to *.limo equivalents when they are loaded for the first time. The latter data format consists of only the required information and pre-computed values for the coordinates, which are transformed from World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS84) to their cartesian equivalents. This way, future evaluations benefit from a reduced scenario initialization time.

For considering shadowing-related attenuation in a deterministic way, LIMoSim provides a three-dimensional attenuation model, which exploits the building information contained in the OSM data. The general approach is illustrated in Fig. 3. At first, intersection candidates on the direct link are computed in the x-y-domain. Afterwards, the elevation profile of the link is analyzed and the precomputed candidates are either adjusted or omitted. As a result, the attenuated distance $d_{\text{obs}}$ and the number of intersections $N$ is derived and can be exploited by obstacle-aware channel models (e.g., [20]). For a given distance $d$, the resulting path loss $L$ is then computed by an obstacle-independent path loss model $L_{\text{pl}}$ and an additional obstacle attenuation term $L_{\text{obs}}$ as

$$L = L_{\text{pl}}(d) + N \cdot \beta + d_{\text{obs}} \cdot \gamma$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

with $\beta$ being the per wall attenuation and $\gamma$ being an intra-building attenuation factor. Fig. 4 shows an example of the resulting path loss dynamics for different receiver altitudes.

Fig. 3. Deterministic obstacle shadowing model. In the first step, intersection candidates are determined in the two-dimensional space. Afterwards, the coordinate system is transformed for considering the impact of the height information.

Fig. 4. Example temporal attenuation behavior of the obstacle shadowing model for different receiver altitudes.

D. Model of the Car Agent

Further details of the car mobility implementation and its integration into OMNeT++ have been published in [19] and [21]. Similar to the UAV agent, the car agent relies on a hierarchical mobility model for considering different decision levels and control routines. As both vehicle class implementations are inherited from an abstract Vehicle base class, mobility-aware communication methods often do not require vehicle type-specific implementations, as both classes provide the same interfaces, e.g., for mobility prediction.

As car following and lane change models, the classic Intelligent Driver Model (IDM) and Minimizing Overall Braking Induced by Lane Changes (MOBIL) [22] combination is implemented. On the strategic layers, different random and deterministic route decision algorithms are available.

E. Model of the UAV Agent

As the aim of the proposed LIMoSim is to provide a development platform for novel methods, it makes use of a highly modular system architecture and abstract component definitions.

1) Hierarchical Mobility Model: The general structure of the hierarchical mobility model is inspired by the theory about modeling swarm behaviors of [23] and consists of the layers Action Selection, Steerings and Locomotion (see Fig. 5). The general idea of the model is to achieve a high grade of reusability of developed algorithms for different vehicle types. Therefore, logic and implementation are strictly separated. As a consequence, the developed algorithms may not only work
with other (yet similar) vehicle types, they can also be easily transferred from the simulation domain to a real world platform, which then only needs to provide implementations for the lowest layers.

The *Action Selection* layer is used to determine the general behavior goals of the mobile agent. In order to guarantee seamless connectivity, communication-aware mobility behaviors often make use of different *roles*, e.g., a subset of the UAVs explores a mission area while the remaining vehicles act as multi-hop relays to ensure a reliable connection to a remote base station [10].

*Steerings* are subroutines that execute a well-defined task, e.g., collision avoidance and waypoint following. They are closely related to the three fundamental swarm motion types *separation*, *cohesion* and *alignment* [23]. In each update iteration, all steerings are executed sequentially. The output of each steering is a *steering vector* $S_i$, which represents the desired multidimensional acceleration of the steering. The overall steering vector $S$, which is forwarded to the *Locomotion* layer, is then computed as the weighted average of all individual steerings $S_i$ and their corresponding weights $w_i$ as

$$S = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} w_i \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} w_i \cdot S_i \tag{2}$$

Within the *Locomotion* stage, the desired movement vector is transferred into a *traveled movement vector*, which is determined with respect to the vehicle’s movement capabilities and its previous angular and linear accelerations.

Per default, a simplified version of the proposed model of [24] is applied. With the angular vector $\eta = [\theta \ \phi \ \psi]^T$, which contains the values for pitch, roll and yaw, the cartesian motion is computed as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddot{x} \\ \ddot{y} \\ \ddot{z} \end{bmatrix} = -g \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{T}{m} \begin{bmatrix} C_x S_y C_\phi + S_y S_\phi \phi \\ S_x S_y C_\phi - C_y S_\phi \phi \\ C_y C_\phi \end{bmatrix} \tag{3}$$

where $C_x = \cos(x)$, $S_x = \sin(x)$, $g$ is the gravitation, $T$ is the thrust and $m$ the vehicle mass. In addition, a differential equation is used to determine the angular accelerations as

$$\dot{\eta} = J^{-1} (\tau_B - C(\eta, \dot{\eta}) \dot{\eta}) \tag{4}$$

where $J$ is a jacobian matrix, which maps the angular velocities to angular accelerations, $\tau_B$ being the torque and $C(\eta, \dot{\eta})$ being the coriolis term, which contains gyroscopic and centripetal effects.

2) *Mobility Prediction*: As discussed in Sec. [11] mobility prediction is one of the key enabling methods for anticipatory communication. Therefore, LIMoSim natively implements different models for predicting future vehicle locations. The hierarchical mobility prediction is an iterative process (see Fig. [6]), which uses the most precise prediction method from all available methods within each iteration $i$. In the first iteration, the aggregated steering vector $S$ is directly used, as it already provides a prediction for the desired location. Afterwards, waypoint information is utilized if available. As a fallback mechanism, a pure extrapolation-based approach is applied.

With the distance increment $d_{\text{inc}} = v \cdot \tau$, the estimated position $\hat{P}_{i+1}$ in the next iteration $i + 1$ is computed as

$$\hat{P}_{i+1} = \begin{cases} P_i + S_i & i = 0 \\ P_i + \frac{1}{W_i} \sum_{j=1}^{W_i} P_{i+j} - P_i \cdot d_{\text{inc}} & W_i \text{ available} \\ P_i + \frac{1}{h} \sum_{j=i}^{h} |P_{i+j} - P_{i+j-1}| & \text{else} \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where $W_i$ is the current target waypoint and $h$ represents the number of considered previous positions.

3) *Situation Awareness*: All vehicles maintain an awareness data base, which contains the positions of other nearby vehicles in order to enable collision avoidance. The information can be updated either distance-based (which mirrors vision-based approaches) or using message exchange.

4) *Power Consumption Model*: The overall operation time of the aerial vehicle is highly impacted by the mobility- and communication-related power consumption. The latter is depending on the communication pattern and the respective communication technology [25], [26]. Within LIMoSim, the communication-related impact on the overall power consumption is computed based on available implementations provided by the supported network simulators. The mobility-and communication-related models are brought together in a logical vehicle battery. For the mobility side, the default implementation is inspired by the model proposed by [27]. Fig. [7] shows an example excerpt of the temporal behavior.
of the mobility-related power consumption. Different characteristic motion states (ascend, descend, hover) can be clearly identified.

IV. PROOF OF CONCEPT EVALUATION

In this section, we present the setup and the results of different example case studies for hybrid vehicular communication networks. The reference scenario is set up in a campus area, which is shown in Fig. 8. For the simulation of the communication behavior, LimoSim is coupled with ns-3. As communication technologies, LTE and C-V2X (Mode 4) are applied.

TABLE I
PARAMETERS FOR THE NS-3 SIMULATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simulation duration</td>
<td>30 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runs per configuration</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum speed (Car)</td>
<td>14 mps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum speed (UAV)</td>
<td>20 mps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario size</td>
<td>1500 m x 750 m x 250 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel model</td>
<td>HybridBuildingsPropagationLossModel, DeterministicObstacleShadowing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet Size</td>
<td>{100, 500, 1000, 8000} Byte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter Packet Interval</td>
<td>{10, 250, 500} ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C-V2X
- Carrier frequency: 5.9 GHz
- Bandwidth: 20 MHz
- \( P_{tx} (UE) \): 23 dBm

LTE
- Carrier frequency: 2.1 GHz
- Bandwidth: 20 MHz
- \( P_{tx} (UE) \): 23 dBm
- \( P_{tx} (eNB) \): 43 dBm

\( P_{tx} \): Maximum transmission power

A summary about the relevant parameters for the reference scenario is given in Tab. I. All errorbars illustrate the 0.95-confidence interval of the mean value.

A. UAVs as Aerial Sensors

In the first case study, the UAVs are exploited as aerial sensors to increase the situation awareness of the cars. Each ground-based vehicle has an aerial sensor drone (target height 40 m), which periodically transmits sensor information as Cooperative Awareness Messages (CAMs) with an average packet size of 190 Byte each 100 ms. As these messages are potentially safety-related (e.g., collision warnings), the latency should be as low as possible.

The statistical results for all evaluated simulation runs are shown in Fig. 9. It can be seen that C-V2X achieves a significantly smaller latency than LTE. Since the applied variant of C-V2X implements the Mode 4 behavior, the intra-User Equipment (UE) processing time has the dominant impact on the overall delay as the channel is accessed directly. In contrast to that, LTE transmissions are impacted by the resource scheduling mechanism of the evolved Node B (eNB).

However, the direct channel access of C-V2X increases the collision probability if larger numbers of UEs operate in the same interference region. As it can be seen in Fig. 9 (b), the resulting Packet Delivery Ratio (PDR) is reduced with increasing UE numbers.

B. Providing Network Coverage with Aerial Base Stations

In the second case study, the concept of aerial base stations (e.g., for providing high resolution map data for automated driving) is analyzed. In contrast to typical static deployments of the network infrastructure, the mobility capabilities of the UAVs offer the potential to dynamically react on shifts in the coverage requirements of the cell users. Within the ITSs context, this approach allows to better react to spontaneous traffic phenomena, which have an impact on the load of the cellular network, e.g., jam situations. Within the simulation, a mobile aerial base station supplies a dynamic cluster of moving ground vehicles. Each vehicle requests a data stream as User Datagram Protocol (UDP) Constant Bitrate (CBR) (8000 Byte each 10 ms, which results in a requested traffic of 6.4 MBit/s) in the downlink from a remote server.

The results for mean data rate per UE and mean delay per UE for the air-to-ground link are shown in Fig. 10. While the aerial base station approach is able to achieve significantly higher data rates than the static eNB deployment, the achievable benefits are highly depending to the number of served UE. For low amounts of UEs, the mobility of the UAV can be successfully exploited to increase the average...
network quality for all cell users. For larger UE amounts, the trajectories of the ground-based vehicles are too diverse for a single UAV to adopt to. As a consequence, the UAV behaves similar to a static eNB in the center of the map. As shown in Fig. 10, the degradation can be compensated by introducing additional UAVs with dedicated operation regions.

C. Network Quality Prediction based on Connectivity Maps

In the third case study, the suitability of the hierarchical mobility prediction scheme for forecasting the network quality at future locations is analyzed. Radio channel quality predictions can be exploited to enable context-aware communication strategies (e.g., opportunistic data transfer [12]). In a preparatory step, a connectivity map for the RSRP (see Fig. 11) is precomputed based on the deterministic obstacle shadowing model and exploited as a priori information during the simulative evaluations. The eNB height is 25 m and the buildings have random heights in the range of 10-30 m. Within each simulation run, the UAVs operate at a constant flight height and move based on random waypoints. Fig. 12 (a) shows the resulting prediction errors for the RSRP for multiple flight altitudes. Three different areas can be identified. Up to 10 m, the building-related shadowing has a dominant impact on the resulting prediction error. While the absolute error of the position prediction (see Fig. 12 (b)) is low, the remaining error range frequently leads to false Line-of-sight (LOS) / Non-line-of-sight (NLOS) decisions near the building corners. At 20 m, the flight altitude is equal to the average building height. Therefore, LOS and NLOS situations occur equally often. Above 30 m, the resulting RSRP prediction error is very low, as only a few buildings are able to cause shadowing effects and the UAVs encounter LOS situation most of the time.

D. Scalability of the Simulator

Finally, in order to assess the suitability of the proposed framework for large-scale simulation scenarios, the impact of the mobility simulation on the resulting computation time is analyzed. All corresponding simulations are performed on an Intel Xeon X5690@3.47 GHz (4 cores), with 8GB RAM and Ubuntu 16.04 operating system with disabled UI. Fig. 13 shows the normed resulting computation time with respect to the number of User Equipment (UE), Interpacket Gap (IPG) and packet size. It can be seen that the dominant runtime-related effects are caused by the network simulation, the impact of the mobility simulation by LIMoSim is almost negligible. The resulting behavior is linearly dependent to the number of modeled UEs.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we presented the open simulation framework LIMoSim, which provides a system-level development platform for joint modeling of aerial and ground-based vehicular networks. The proposed framework relies on a shared code-base coupling approach between mobility and communication simulation in order to catalyze the development of context-aware algorithms for future UAV-enabled ITSs. While the physical motion and the energy consumption are represented by well-known analytical models, the hierarchical mobility model allows to seamlessly integrate novel steering routines. As a proof-of-concept evaluation, the proposed simulator was applied to analyze the performance of safety-related messaging based on LTE and C-V2X. In addition, potential benefits of using aerial base stations for providing ground connectivity were evaluated. In future work, we will utilize LIMoSim to develop and evaluate novel anticipatory communication methods for aerial and ground-based vehicles.
Fig. 13. Impact of different system dimensioning parameters on the resulting computation time (Aerial base station scenario).
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